From:	Mindi Blanchard
To:	AOC DL - Guardianship Program
Subject:	Proposed Rule Changes to Regulation 202
Date:	Tuesday, August 11, 2020 8:50:11 AM

I am questioning the need for this rule change. All continuing education, whether with the Guardian Institute, WAPG or the NGA has been viral. The Guardian Institute is offering a hybrid in-person (if allowed by the county)/virtual conference in September. I'd be interested in the complaints that the CPGB has received. Are CPGs saying that their business has suffered? I can't speak for anyone else but Bridge Builders, Ltd only had a slight slowdown in March and April. Business has been picking up rapidly since then. GPGs are essential, so other than not being able to physically visit clients, not much has changed other than spending more phone time with facility staff checking up on clients as best we can. As to the cost of CE, the Guardian Institute offers partial scholarships to those who might be struggling. For our September conference, we have received only one application for a scholarship out of five available. Maybe there are concerned that I have not experienced but unless I know what they are, it's difficult to have any other opinion.

Mindi R. Blanchard, M.Ed., CPG President Bridge Builders, Ltd/ The Guardian Institute PO Box 610 Sequim, WA 98382 (360) 683-8334

From:	<u>C. Olivia Irwin</u>
To:	AOC DL - Guardianship Program
Subject:	Proposed CEU change for the sake of COVID
Date:	Friday, August 14, 2020 11:08:29 AM

Dear sirs,

After reviewing the proposed change to guardianship rules, I oppose them for the same reason that I am appalled by the WSBAs recent decision to waive the bar exam requirement this year. Hysteria aside, neither COVID-19 nor any annual virus should be used as an excuse to lower standards or make changes that the circumstances do not call for. For those who are concerned, there are PLENTY of online continuing education courses for professionals and laypeople alike, and it's often cheaper/easier to attend a webcast than in person. So I submit that unless you have found the reason for the requirement doesn't exist anymore, there is no reason that this change should be made. Thank you.



Information in this message may be proprietary and/or confidential. It's intended only for the use of the individual(s) to whom this email is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or have received it in error, please respect the privacy of others by notifying me and deleting this e-mail from your computer. Thank you.

Dear CPG Board,

Thank you for considering revising 202. I support this revision. It will be very helpful.

Respectfully,

Patricia Croteau, CPG # 9628 Bridge Ministries 12356 Northup Way Bellevue, WA 98005 Get <u>Outlook for iOS</u>